



MARS - MEDIA AGAINST RACISM IN SPORT

EUROPEAN MEDIA FORUM

Journalism & Media Ethics, Diversity, Racism & Sport in Europe

PARTICIPANT SURVEY REPORT

6. - 8. June / Juin 2012

Birmingham City University

Millennium Point Birmingham United Kingdom





MARS - Media Against Racism in Sport







Implemented by the Council of Europe

MARS European Encounter Birmingham, UK



	Doenonee	Dognone
	Response Percent	Response Count
Male	50.0%	13
Female	50.0%	13
	answered question	26

	Response	Response
	Percent	Count
25 years or under	7.7%	O.
26 to 40 years	46.2%	12
41 to 55 years	38.5%	10
56 years and over	7.7%	2
	answered question	20
	skipped question	

skipped question

3. Please choose the count	ry in which you work:	
	Response Percent	Response Count
Austria	3.8%	1
Belgium	3.8%	1
Bulgaria	0.0%	0
Cyprus	3.8%	1
Czech Republic	0.0%	0
Denmark	0.0%	0
Estonia	0.0%	0
Finland	0.0%	0
France	11.5%	3
Germany	15.4%	4
Greece	0.0%	0
Hungary	3.8%	1
Ireland	0.0%	0
Italy	11.5%	3
Latvia	3.8%	1
Lithuania	11.5%	3
Luxembourg	0.0%	0
Malta	0.0%	0
Netherlands	0.0%	0
Poland	0.0%	0
Portugal	3.8%	1
Romania	3.8%	1
Slovakia	0.0%	0
Slovenia	0.0%	0

Spain	3.8%	1
Sweden	0.0%	0
United Kingdom	19.2%	5
	Other (please specify)	0
	answered question	26
	skipped question	0

4. Please select your <u>occupation</u> from the list below, or click "other" and type it in (select maximum of 2):

	Response Percent	Response Count
Journalist	57.7%	15
Editorial staff	0.0%	0
Publisher	0.0%	0
Lecturer/teacher/trainer	26.9%	7
Student	3.8%	1
Education Management	3.8%	1
Media/Communications or PR staff	11.5%	3
Researcher	7.7%	2
Regulatory body member	3.8%	1
Other (please specify)	15.4%	4
	answered question	26
	skipped question	0

5. Please select the type of organisation that you work mostly for (select maximum of 2):

	Response Percent	Respons Count
	34.6%	
	11.5%	
	0.0%	
	15.4%	
	19.2%	
	19.2%	
	7.7%	
	0.0%	()
	11.5%	
	7.7%	
	7.7%	
	0.0%	Ř
	0.0%	<u> </u>
	3.8%	8
	3.8%	2
	3.8%	
an	swered question	2
s	kipped question	

6. Please indicate which media you work most with (select one only):

	Response Percent	Response Count
Print	16.0%	3.4
Radio	20.0%	Ę
TV	24.0%	ε
Web/Multimedia	28.0%	7
Does not apply	12.0%	3
	answered question	25
	skipped question	

7. Overall, how satisfied were you that the <u>organisation of the Encounter</u> was appropriate and effective for what it set out to achieve?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very satisfied	80.8%	21
Somewhat satisfied	15.4%	2
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	0.0%	c
Somewhat dissatisfied	3.8%	1
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	0
	answered question	26
	skipped question	

8. Overall, how satisfied were you with the content and activities of the Encounter?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very satisfied	57.7%	15
Somewhat satisfied	38.5%	10
Neither satisfied nor dissatisfied	0.0%	βĺ
Somewhat dissatisfied	3.8%	
Very dissatisfied	0.0%	Ü
	answered question	20
	skipped question	10

9. Please choose one of the following options regarding the statement below:

"The Encounter <u>deepened my understanding of ethical principles</u> in the context of editorial management, in particular in relation to the <u>active inclusion of diversity and</u> non-discrimination as an ongoing angle in media coverage."

	Response Percent	Response Count
Strongly agree	53.8%	14
Agree somewhat	38.5%	10
Neither agree nor disagree	7.7%	6
Disagree somewhat	0.0%	(1)
Strongly disagree	0.0%	90
	answered question	20
	skipped question	7.6

10. Please choose one of the following options regarding the statement below:

"The Encounter <u>stimulated ideas about how I might change/improve my work</u> in relation to ethical issues and editorial management."

		ponse rcent	Response Count
Strongly agree		46.2%	1:
Agree somewhat		42.3%	1
Neither agree nor disagree		11.5%	3
Disagree somewhat		0.0%	(
Strongly disagree		0.0%	(
	answered que	estion	20
	skipped que	estion	1

11. Please choose one of the following options regarding the statement below:

"The Encounter <u>demonstrated advantages of exchanging views and collaborating</u> between media professionals involved in editorial management regarding the inclusion of diveristy and non-discrimination as an ongoing angle of media coverage."

Respons Count	Response Percent	
2	76.9%	Strongly agree
	23.1%	Agree somewhat
	0.0%	Neither agree nor disagree
	0.0%	Disagree somewhat
	0.0%	Strongly disagree
2	answered question	
	skipped question	

12. Based on your experience and thinking about media coverage and sports, what kind of support do you think might assist media professionals and students to include non-discrimination and diversity around sport and beyond, in their coverage (Please check up to 3)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Training workshops (Content and Techniques)	84.6%	22
Production techniques manuals or examples	15.4%	4
Arguments that make the case to senior management on diversity	42.3%	11
Case Study examples of good practice	65.4%	17
Financial support	50.0%	13
Good, reliable contact sources from diverse backgrounds dealing with your issue	34.6%	9
E-learning tools (content and techniques)	11.5%	3
Tools for reflecting on diversity in the workplace	15.4%	4
Does not apply to me	0.0%	0
Other (please specify)	0.0%	0
	answered question	26
	skipped question	0

13. Indicate overall the extent to which your expectations of this Encounter have been fulfilled on a scale of 1 to 5, where 1 means "Not at all" and 5 means "fully"

	Response Percent	Response Count
1	0.0%	0
2	3.8%	1
3	7.7%	2
4	26.9%	7
5	61.5%	16
	answered question	26
	skipped question	0

14. Please suggest one or more ways in which we could improve this meeting next time:

Response Count

17

answered question 17 skipped question 9

15. Do you expect to participate in another MARS activity e.g. a European Encounter, a National Encounter, or a Work Exchange (see http://www.coe.int/t/dg4/cultureheritage/mars/)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
I am likely to	80.8%	2
I don't know	19.2%	
I am unlikely to	0.0%	
	answered question	26
	skipped question	9

	, Q4. Please select your <u>occupation</u> from the companion of 2):	ie list below, or click other and type it in (select
1	Photojournalist	Jul 11, 2012 1:22 PM
2	Diversity Manager	Jun 29, 2012 10:24 AM
3	Project Coordinator	Jun 29, 2012 10:02 AM
4	project coordinator	Jun 13, 2012 8:54 AM

Page 1, Q5. Please select the <u>type of organisation</u> that you work mostly for (select maximum of 2		you work mostly for (select maximum of 2):
1	International Consultancy	Jun 12, 2012 8:34 PM

1	I think the work exchange is a very good opportunity to improve things, and to make us staying in touch each other. We share the same goal, we're all working in the same direction.	Jul 11, 2012 1:27 PM
2	More Sports journalists to attend and add their views	Jul 5, 2012 12:32 PM
3	More one-to-one contact	Jun 29, 2012 10:21 AN
4	More good practice examples concrete journalists work? Thanks you.	Jun 29, 2012 10:19 AM
5	Smaller workshop groups Cross equality strand links	Jun 29, 2012 10:17 AM
6	Perhpas more presentations of concrete cases like John Price*, Ama[?]*, John Imchechi*[?], Ali, Hofer (*coming from outside the media point of view). [Translated from French. Writing unclear]	Jun 29, 2012 10:15 AM
7	1. Because I'm from printed media, I'd like to see more practical examples from others - its good to learn theory but it isn't impossible to bring some newspaper with some special story of the last year, biggest scandal, extraordinary case, good campaign etc. 2. Maybe one of workgroup session more practical - what is the uncovered fileds in your country, why; what is your own solution and what kind of advice the other can give. 3. Isn't any monitoring of these issues (diveristy, homophobia, sexism) in media in EU countries?	Jun 29, 2012 10:00 AM
8	Forming Groups (from the plenary) just with journalists to discuss issues from a more practical point of view, though mixed groups should also exist.	Jun 29, 2012 9:54 AM
9	More Good practice examples	Jun 28, 2012 4:48 PM
10	show (in my case radio and television programmes) some case of good practices from differents countries. In my opinion it's a way to better understand other countries situation and maybe improve our way of working.	Jun 14, 2012 2:20 AM
11	More time dedicated to exact examples and offers from every or the majority of participants how to deal with non ethical disciminative reporting. Not so much attention on "what should we do" but rather "how should we do and how it is done elsewhere".	Jun 13, 2012 8:58 AM
12	Practical, trying to decide the spokeswoman/spokesman of the working groups in advance. Subjet: Does the politically correct language avoid part of the real debate? Languages: Trying to diversify also whenever possible. It is about diversity too.	Jun 13, 2012 8:31 AM
13	Programme is too intense, it should be shortened; workshops should be better structured to produce concrete outcomes; but overall it is an excellent event!	Jun 13, 2012 7:53 AM
14	More time for sessions, longer interactive sessions, better acoustics!	Jun 12, 2012 8:37 PM
15	To my mind, it would be great if all participants would have had their "homework" before comming to the meeting - present some good or bad practice examples from their work on concrete topics. Afterwards they could be discussed and maybe it would give some more structure for work in groups.	Jun 12, 2012 2:29 PM
16	It could be useful to assign specific goals and outputs to the working groups	Jun 11, 2012 3:23 PN

17 Clearer briefs for the working groups. Jun 11, 2012 1:08 PM













